

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/001,696	10/001,696 10/31/2001		Steve Varga	4673-013B <sup>-</sup>	3045
27820	7590 04/21/2004 EXA				NER
		RANOVA, P.L.L.	VOELTZ, EMANUEL T		
P.O. BOX 1 CARY, NC			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•				2121	
				DATE MAILED: 04/21/2004	$_{\cdot}$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	/			
•	-	10/001,			. /			
Office Action Summary				VARGA ET AL.				
	Jiii Jii Jii Jii Jii Jii Jii Jii Jii Ji	Examin		Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this commun		l T. Voeltz	the correspondence address	Se			
Period fo		reation appears on a	ic cover sheet with	are correspondence addres	33			
THE   - Externanter - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months a d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no enunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the apply and the statute of the statute.	event, however, may a repl atutory minimum of thirty ( will expire SIX (6) MONTH oplication to become ABAN	y be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this commu	unication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i><u>Pre-Amendme</u></i>	ent filed on March 2	<u>22, 2002</u> .				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims			·				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-130 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-130 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from c						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to			•				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internationsee the attached detailed Office actions	documents have be documents have be of the priority docum nal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in App nents have been re ule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Sta	ge			
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date 7.			Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)			

Application/Control Number: 10/001,696

Art Unit: 2121



#### United States Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 2023I
www.uspto.gov

### Examiner's Detailed Office Action

This action is in response to patent application number 10/001,696, filed October 31, 2001, which is a continuation of U.S. Serial No. 09/427,954, abandoned, which is a continuation of U.S. Serial No. 08/649,742, now U.S. Patent No. 6,181,981 B1.

Claims 1-130 have been examined.

### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on November 27, 2001 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the examiner is considering the information disclosure statement.

### **Preliminary Amendment**

The preliminary amendment submitted on March 22, 2002 has been fully considered by the examiner.

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686

Application/Control Number: 10/001,696

Art Unit: 2121

F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-130 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-29 of U.S. Patent No. 6,181,981 B1.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims in the patent set forth only an individual compartment within a vending machine whereas the instant application is claiming a plurality of compartments. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the individual compartments as claimed in the '981 B1 patent so that there could be a plurality of compartments with different products contained within. Further, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have recognized that a vending machine should carry more than a single product or types of products. The advantage would be to pump up sales within the various vending machines.

# Correspondence Information

Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Emanuel Todd Voeltz who may be reached via telephone at (703) 305-4563. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. eastern standard time.

If you need to send an Official facsimile transmission, please send it to (703) 872-9306. If you would like to send a Non-Official (draft) facsimile transmission the fax is (703) 746-5104. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Anthony Knight, may be reached at (703) 308-3179.

Any response to this office action should be mailed too: Director of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231.

Moreover, hand-delivered responses should be delivered to the Receptionist, located on the fourth floor of Crystal Park 11, 2121 Crystal Drive Arlington, Virginia.

Emanuel Todd Voeltz
Primary Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2121
United States Department of Commerce
Patent & Trademark Office

MANUEL TODO YUELI &